

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,249	0/705,249 11/10/2003		Warren M. Farnworth	2269-5558J US (99-0253.09	3194
24247	7590	05/25/2006	EXAMINER		INER
TRASK E P.O. BOX			EWALD, MARIA VERONICA		
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ŕ			1722	
			DATE MAILED: 05/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/705,249	FARNWORTH, WARREN M.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Maria Veronica D. Ewald	1722					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time Till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_· action is non-final.						
,		esecution as to the merits is					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
· ·	x parte Quayre, 1900 G.B. 11, 40	0.0.2.210.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-20 is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· · · · ·						
8)☐: Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 November 2003 is/ai		ed to by the Examiner					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
		. '					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
i 🔲 Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	• • • • • • •					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage 🥳					
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Not the stay of					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d. Resident to the control of the co					
Attacinnent(s:		10 m 10 m 10 m					
1) Notice of Referencys Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsparson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date: Paper No(s)/Mail Date:							
 Information Phydiothre Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/09). Paper No(s)/Mult Daile 12/0483/05. 	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)					
To part tradepression was the <u>Control</u>							

Application/Control Number: 10/705,249

Art Unit: 1722

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 13. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-9, drawn to a stereolithography apparatus, classified in class
 425, subclass 174.4.
 - II. Claims 10-20, drawn to a process of removing gas bubbles, classified in class 264, subclass 442.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 1. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as a process of applying a coating onto a preformed product.
- 2. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 3. During a telephone conversation with Brick G. Power (by Examiner Leo Tentoni, GAU 1732), applicant's representative, on 15 May 2006, a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-9. Affirmation of

Art Unit: 1722

this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 10-20 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

14. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 – 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Leyden, et al. (U.S. 5,143,663). Leyden, et al. teach a stereolithography apparatus, comprising: a fabrication chamber in which a volume of liquid material is contained (item 100 – figures 4c and 4d; column 20, lines 34 – 50); and a bubble elimination system associated with the fabrication chamber and configured to facilitate removal of gas bubbles from the volume of liquid material (column 22, lines 23-40; column 23, lines 20 – 30); wherein the bubble removal elimination system causes the liquid material to vibrate (column 22, lines 23 – 27); wherein the bubble elimination system is associated with a wall of the fabrication chamber (item 110 – figure 4c; column 22, lines 25 – 30).

With respect to claims 4-9, Leyden, et al. further teach that the bubble elimination system is associated with a structure located at least partially within the fabrication chamber (column 22, lines 25-30); wherein the structure located at least partially within the fabrication chamber comprises a fabrication support (column 21,

lines 15 - 35; column 22, lines 25 - 40); wherein the bubble elimination system comprises an ultrasonic transducer (item 110 - 10 figure 4c; column 22, lines 25 - 27); wherein the ultrasonic transducer comprises a piezoelectric transducer (item 110 - 10 figure 4c; column 11, lines 25 - 30; column 12, lines 60 - 68); wherein the apparatus is further comprised of a negative pressure source for applying a negative pressure to a surface of the volume of liquid material (column 22, lines 25 - 35; column 23, lines 20 - 30); and wherein the negative pressure source is configured to apply negative pressure sufficient for removing gas bubbles at or near the surface (column 23, lines 23 - 26).

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hirano, et al. (U.S. 5,089,184). Hirano, et al. teach a stereolithography apparatus, comprising: a fabrication chamber in which a volume of liquid material is contained (item 11 – figure 2); and a bubble elimination system associated with the fabrication chamber and configured to facilitate removal of gas bubbles from the volume of liquid material (column 4, lines 1 – 8; column 5, lines 25 – 35; column 6, lines 51 – 63).

Conclusion

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maria Veronica D. Ewald whose telephone number is 571-272-8519. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/705,249 Page 5

Art Unit: 1722

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MVE

OSEPH S. DEL SOLE PRIMARY EXAMINER 5\23\06